

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,601	12/13/2001		Noboru Yamada	10873.784USWO	7257
5	7590	03/02/2004		EXAMINER	
Merchant &	Gould		FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D		
PO Box 2903 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1774	

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/936,601	YAMADA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Lawrence D Ferguson	1774					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	S				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. It the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 /</u>	November 2003.						
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-19,22 and 23</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>10 amd 20-21</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the option of the second	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is old	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No /ed in this National Stag	ge				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		2)				

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This action is in response to the amendment mailed November 3, 2003.
 Claims 24-27 were cancelled rendering claims 1-23 pending in the application.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103(a)

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-9, 11-19 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0898273 in view of Goto et al. (U.S. 6,114,087).

EP '273 discloses an information recording medium comprising a substrate and a recording layer including a reversible phase change by irradiation provided above the substrate (page 2, lines 31-40) where the recording layer comprises Te, Ge, Sb and N (page 2, lines 38-40). EP '273 discloses the recording layer comprising crystallizing material and GeTeSb₂Te₃ (page 4, lines 32-38). Ep '273 does not show molar ratio, ionic ratio, melting point or concentration. However, such molar ratio, ionic ratio, melting point and concentration are properties which can be easily determined by one of ordinary skill in the art. With regard to the limitation of the molar ratio, ionic ratio, melting

Art Unit: 1774

point and concentration, absent a showing of unexpected results, it is obvious to modify the conditions of a composition because they are merely the result of routine experimentation. The experimental modification of prior art in order to optimize operation conditions (e.g. molar ratio, ionic ratio, melting point and concentration) fails to render claims patentable in the absence of unexpected results. All of the aforementioned limitations are optimizable as they directly affect the mechanical strength and durability of the recording media. As such, they are optimizable. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the recording medium with the limitations of the molar ratio, ionic ratio, melting point and concentration since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). EP '273 does not disclose a lattice defect.

Goto teaches a recording medium comprising a substrate and a recording film (abstract) having phase change capability comprising a crystalline structure and amorphous structure in some cases (column 5, lines 30-40) having a lattice defect (column 10, lines 5-10). Goto teaches the material comprises cubic structure(s) such as NaCl (column 9, lines 40-41) and Aluminum (column 10, line 27). EP '273 and Goto are analogous art because they are both directed to phase change recording media. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a crystal lattice defect comprising NaCl and Al because Nakamura teaches these features so that the components of the recording media are highly oriented and structured.

Art Unit: 1774

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ohno et al. (U.S. 6,115,352) discloses an information recording medium comprising a substrate and a phase change type recording layer having a crystalline state and amorphous state (abstract). Additionally, Kitaura et al. (U.S. 6,432,502) discloses a recording medium having a substrate and a phase change layer changing in phase reversibly between crystalline state and an amorphous state (abstract).
- 5. Claims 10 and 20-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Arguments made in regards to rejection made under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0898273 in view of Nakamura et al. (U.S. 5,738,927) are moot based on grounds of new rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence Ferguson whose telephone number is (703) 305-9978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM

Art Unit: 1774

– 4:30PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on (703) 308-0449. Please allow the examiner twenty-four hours to return your call.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)

308-2351.

Lawrence D. Ferguson

Examiner Art Unit 1774 CYNTHIA H. KELLY

THE THE TENT STEAMNER

THE THE TENT STEAMNER

Cynthel Kelly